

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,793	MILBY, GREGORY HOWARD	

Examiner	Art Unit	
UYEN T. LE	2163	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) UYEN T. LE. (3) _____.

(2) Howard L. Speight (Reg. No. 37,733). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 October 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,3,5,6,9,11,13,14,16,18,20,21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's arguments regarding claims 6,14,21 are persuasive. Applicant authorizes an examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Uyen T. Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2163

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)